

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,248		08/24/2001	Dirk Kolowrot	H3381 PCT/US	7954
423	7590	08/28/2003			20
	HENKEL CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
2500 RENAISSANCE BLVD STE 200			MUSSER, BARBARA J		
GULPHIN	GULPH MILLS, PA 19406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1733	
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/787,248	KOLOWROT ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		Barbara J. Musser	1733
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		'''
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	June 2003 .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	vance except for formal matter r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{15-35}$ is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 15-35 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)[7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		• •
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	
	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ε	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Appli	ication No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Breather attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been	received.
Attachment	• •		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 20

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear in lines 2-3 if the number average molecular weight or weight average molecular weight is intended to be at least 4,000 as the other claims requiring a molecular weight of at least 4,000 are directed to number average molecular weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-20 and 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. as evidenced by Iwami et al. and Properties of Paraffinic SHELLFLEX Oils), and in view of Sustic(U.S. Patent 5,723,546)

Suzuki et al. discloses a sprayable hot melt adhesive with greater than 20wt% amorphous poly-alpha-olefin(APAO), less than 20 wt% oil, and 30-70wt% hydrocarbon resin tackifier used in making diapers.(Col. 7, II. 27-Col. 8, II. 10) The adhesive has a

Art Unit: 1733

melt viscosity of 500-10,000 cp at 180°C.(Col. 1, II. 65-67) The reference does not disclose the softening temperature of the hydrocarbon but does disclose it can be CLEARON (Col. 7, II. 59; Col. 14, II. 35) CLEARON P105 has a softening temperature of 105°C as evidenced by Iwami et al. which disclose CLEARON P105 has a softening temperature of 105°C.[0035]

The reference does not disclose the viscosity of the oil, but does disclose it can be a paraffinic SHELLFLEX oil.(Col. 8, II. 3-4) Properties discloses that some paraffinic SHELLFLEX oils have viscosities of 19.4-70.3 mPas at 40C.(Table 1)

The reference does not disclose using a mixture of APAOs. Sustic discloses a mixture of APAOs which has high tensile strength.(Col. 3, II. 21-27; Abstract) Some of this mixture of APAOs can have softening temperatures of 70-140°C and a melt viscosity of 8,000-145,000 cp at 150°C.(Table 2) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the mixtures of APAOs of Sustic in the adhesive composition of Suzuki et al. since Suzuki et al. discloses any APAO can be used and since Sustic discloses that the mixtures of the reference have greater tensile strength than conventional APAOs(Col. 3, II. 21-26) which would be useful in diapers so that the layers of the diaper do not separate in use. While the range of softening temperatures and melt viscosities of the APAO mixtures of Sustic encompass the claimed range, Suzuki et al. discloses the adhesive composition has a viscosity of 500-10,000 cp at 180C.(Abstract) Therefore one in the art would appreciate that the APAO mixtures of Sustic having the lower softening temperatures and melt viscosities would be used as otherwise the viscosity and softening temperature of the

Art Unit: 1733

adhesive composition would be too high since the APAO mixture is more than 20% of the adhesive and too high a melt viscosity for the APAO mixture would result in a higher melt viscosity for the adhesive composition than is desired in Suzuki et al.

Regarding claims 16, 20, 28, 31, and 35, one component of the APAO can have a number average molecular weight of above 15,000, and the ratio of weight average molecular weight to number average molecular weight is 6 or less.(Col. 5, II. 55-65)

Regarding claim 17, as the viscosity of the adhesive can be 500 cp at 180°C, one in the art would appreciate that it would be less than 1,900 cp at 150°C since the viscosity does not tend to rise appreciably with temperature until the components near their softening temperatures.

Regarding claim 18, one component of the APAO contains 30-90% butene and 90-30% propylene.(Col. 5, II. 14-17)

Regarding claim 19, the APAO mixture can have a viscosity of 4,000-8,000 cp at 150°C and therefore would have a viscosity less than 15,000 cp at 190C.(Tables II and III)

Regarding claim 20, the APAO mixture can have a needle penetration of 7(Table 3). While the reference is silent as to density, the polymers I the Tables are know to be low density polymers.

Regarding claim 22, using medicinal white oils as the plasticizer is well-known and conventional in the adhesive arts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any well-known and

·Art Unit: 1733

conventional oil such as medicinal white oil as the plasticizer since such oils are well-known and conventional in the adhesive arts.

Regarding claim 23, the hydrocarbon can be a C9 based petroleum.(Col. 7, II. 55)

Regarding claim 24, pigments and stabilizers are well-known and conventional additives to adhesives. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add any well-known and conventional additives such as stabilizers or pigments to the adhesive since such additives are well-known and conventional in the adhesive arts.

Regarding claim 26, since the materials used are the same as applicant in the same proportions as applicant, the viscosity of the adhesive at 100°C would be in the same range as applicant's.

Regarding claims 27, 29, 30, and 33, the adhesive is used to bond together a nonwoven and a polyethylene film.(Col. 6, II. 35-61) The composite can be used in a diaper.(Col. 1, II. 8) The adhesive is applied at a weight of 0.5-7 g/m².(Col. 3, II. 14-19) The coating temperature can be 170°C.(Col. 12, II., 66-67)

Regarding claim 32, the adhesive can be applied at a rate of 200 m/min.(Table 3) While the only coating temperature listed is 170, one in the art would appreciate that the adhesive could be applied at any temperature where the materials are liquid and capable of being sprayed. Absent unexpected results, the coating temperature is considered obvious.

Art Unit: 1733

Regarding claim 34, while the reference does not disclose the conditions under which the adhesive is mixed, one in the art would appreciate that the mixing would be done under an inert atmosphere since that would prevent reaction of the materials with oxygen as it well-known and conventional in the chemical arts.

5. Claims 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. as evidenced by Iwami et al. and Properties, and in view of Simmons et al.(WO 97/33921).

Suzuki et al. discloses a sprayable hot melt adhesive with greater than 20wt% amorphous poly-alpha-olefin(APAO), less than 20 wt% oil, and 30-70wt% hydrocarbon resin tackifier.(Col. 7, II. 27-Col. 8, II. 10) The adhesive has a melt viscosity of 500-10,000 cp at 180°C.(Col. 1, II. 65-67) The reference does not disclose the softening temperature of the hydrocarbon but does disclose it can be Clearon(Col. 7, II. 59; Col. 14, II. 35) Clearon P105 has a softening temperature of 105°C as evidenced by Iwami et al. which disclose Clearon P105 has a softening temperature of 105°C.[0035]

The reference does not disclose the viscosity of the oil, but does disclose it can be a paraffinic SHELLFLEX oil.(Col. 8, II. 3-4) Properties discloses that some paraffinic SHELLFLEX oils have viscosities of 19.4-70.3 mPas at 40C.(Table 1)

The reference does not disclose the softening temperature or melt viscosity of the APAO. Simmons et al. discloses an APAO which can be used in hot melt adhesives which is comprised of two components- a first APAO with a molecular weight less than 20,000 and a second APAO with a molecular weight less than 6,000.(Pg. 5, II. 18-Pg. 6, II. 6) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 1733

invention was made to use the APAO of Simmons et al. in the adhesive of Suzuki et al. since the APAO has a balance of properties superior to those known previously.(Pg. 4, II. 17-19) While the reference does not disclose the specific melt viscosities, viscosity is dependent on molecular weight and thus these APAOs would have viscosities within the claimed ranges.

6. Claims 15, 24, 25, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster et al.(U.S. Patent 5021,257).

Foster et al. discloses a sprayable hot melt adhesive with 30-70wt% APAO having a viscosity of 2,000-20,000 cp at 190°C, 20-50wt% hydrocarbon with a softening temperature of 70-145°C, and 0-30wt% oil.(Col. 2, II. 20-48) The adhesive has a viscosity of 3,000-25,000 cp at 135°C(Col. 2, II. 1) and thus would have an even lower viscosity at 150°C. The oil has a viscosity of 10-50 mPas at 23 C.(Col. 5, II. 52) The reference discloses multiple APAOs can be used.(Table 1) The reference does not disclose the softening temperature of the APAOs. However, the softening temperature of the adhesive is 90-125°C.(Col. 2, II. 1-3) Since the adhesive has a softening temperature in the same range, one in the art would appreciate that the APAO would have a softening temperature in the same range as otherwise the mixture would not end up with a softening temperature of 90-125°C.

Regarding claim 24, the reference discloses the adhesive can contain pigments and nucleating agents.(Col. 6, II. 50-54)

Regarding claims 27 and 29, the adhesive can be used on a diaper.(Col. 6, II. 60)

-Art Unit: 1733

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-35 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Barbara J. Musser whose telephone number is (703)-

305-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday; alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for

regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

B.JM

August 13, 2003

Michael W. Ball Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 8

Technology Center 1700